IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Kirvin L. Hodge, et al. Atty. Ref.: 18034-PCTUS

Appl. No.: 10/531,618 Group Art Unit: 1614

Filed: April 14, 2005 Examiner: Weddington, K.

Conf. No.: 8180 Customer No.: 31976

Title: COMPOUNDS FOR THE TREATMENT OF METABOLIC

DISORDERS

* * * * * * *

September 21, 2007

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This Communication is submitted in response to the September 20, 2007 Office Action in connection with the above-identified patent application. A response to the Office Action is due October 20, 2007. Accordingly, this Communication is being timely filed.

The September 20, 2007 Office Action vacated the November 7, 2006 Office Action and required restriction among three allegedly distinct inventions, as follows:

- Claims 6-13, drawn to a method for treating, class 514, subclass 310.
- II. Claims 14-18, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, class 514, subclass 310.
- III. Claims 19-22 and 24-29, drawn to a biologically active agent, class 544, various subclasses

U.S. Appl. No. 10/531,618 Communication dated September 21, 2007

Page 2

In response to the restriction requirement, applicants hereby elect Group III (claims 19-22

and 24-29) with traverse. Applicants submit that restriction is not proper because there

would not be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required (MPEP

803.01, Rev. 5, Aug. 2006, page 800-4.).

In this case the Office has already performed a search and issued an Office Action on the

merits. Because all three inventions have already been searched, there would not be a

serious burden on the examiner if restriction among the three inventions is not required.

Moreover, Groups I and II are classified in the same class and subclass. Therefore there

would not be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction between Groups I and II is

not required. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement is

respectfully requested.

No fee is believed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. If any

fee is required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of such fee

to Deposit Account No. 50-1677.

Respectfully submitted,

/Lewis J. Kreisler/

Lewis J. Kreisler Reg. No. 38522

Attorney for Applicant(s)

930 Clopper Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878 Phone: (240) 631-2500 x3276

Facsimile: (240) 683-3794